

Response to Judy Cole

It would take a twenty page essay to reply in depth to the misrepresentations and outright falsehoods flourishing in the letter of Judy Cole of 1/18, so I will confine myself to an exposé of the real world which she seems to live in florid ignorance of, and I will do so by briefing “progressives” on the actual character and nature of the candidates she and they undoubtedly consider to represent fitness for office. As her understanding of the world we live in apparently doesn’t get beyond parroting the latest Democrat/Moonbeam Media script, this will be a first reading for her and hers, who obviously are thoroughly confused about who is aiming “to confuse us about what is true.”

Fit for office? The Obama regime, far from being the scandal-free refuge of rectitude she has been trained to revere, was in fact as corrupt as any Presidency in the nation’s history. Grant and Harding had the excuse of being inert and gullible; Obama knew of and approved it all. The list [here](#), or [here](#), middle of column is pretty complete but for the most recent revelations, though it is all revelation to Ms. Cole, et.al.. But then, when you don’t wish to know, Surprise!, you won’t.

Next, let’s look at H. R. Clinton, merely the most corrupt female in American political history, with second place not even close, but who Judy Cole probably considers to be highly fit for the highest office. [Here](#), in one necessarily long essay, is a review of the nonstop career of Clinton sleaze and corruption. It was written nearly three years ago, so much more has seen the light of day since. Among those additions is her ardent pursuit of (successfully) turning over a large chunk of American uranium production to the Russians (with the approval of Corruptocrats like Holder, Comey and Mueller) in return for nearly \$150,000,000 in contributions to her pay-to-play Clinton Foundation, plus \$500,000 to Slick Willie for a one-hour speech in Moscow. That is not Moscow, small-college town in Idaho, but Moscow, capital of Russia. So who was colluding with the Russians?

This is all confirmation of what I have been saying for years – it is a tenet of today’s Democrat Party that corrupt government is good government when the corruption is Democrat, a belief widely shared locally, too. To have voted for Clinton or Obama is really an acknowledgment of complete unfitness for determining who is or is not fit for any office. By remaining in willing, often joyous (see Cole, J.), mind-enslavement to the social parasites of leftist academia and their Moonbeam Media (the Propaganda Bureau of the Democrat Party), THEY AND YOU WILL NEVER UNDERSTAND, or usually even know about, what is truly going on in this country. Such enslavement is that of sheep, not developed human beings. “I am patient with stupidity, but not with those who are proud of it.” - Dame Edith Sitwell. I concur.

If the Judy Coles’ of this world (i.e., “progressives”) took all of the time they currently devote to demonstrating that they have not even the faintest shadow of a political mind of their own, and instead for their next twenty years spent that time with lips firmly shut and minds sloo-oooww-ly, ever so slowww-ly, crrr-eeeak-king open, they would be doing not only their country and community, but far more significantly, themselves, a tremendous favor. And who knows, they might even grow to comprehend that human potential reaches distances far beyond where any “progressive” dares to go, and that leftist indoctrination is the kindergarten, not the college, of political/social understanding.

The bottom line is that ignorance is omnipresent. The worst kind of ignorance is not knowing just how ignorant we are. That leads to the devastating pretense of knowledge that’s part and parcel of the vision of intellectual elites and politicians. Professor Walter E. Williams

[“devastating pretense of knowledge” – There it is, “Progressivism” summarized in four words. That is the sort of excellent writing that places Professor Williams in the very top echelon of political commentators.]

Why, then, is such indoctrination now the goal throughout the national disgrace that is the Public Indoctrination System, from K – PHDs? Because Control, not Freedom, Regression, not Progression, Ignorance, not Understanding are *sine qua nons* to creating a society of brainwashed sheep, merely the end goal of “Progressivism”. And because neither global fat cats (Soros tops all lists) nor “progressive”, wannabe-Stalins (and mega-millionaires) see Obama, Clinton, Pelosi, Steyer, Bloomberg, can control your life until this nation, via The Great Brainwashing, has destructively re-

created itself as a society of disarmed Wards of The State, in other words, slaves on Big Brother's plantation, rather than one of self-thinking, self-reliant individuals who are as far removed as possible from such slavery.

Liberals so desperately want us disarmed because they hate that we hold a veto over their Venezuelan dreams. But they also want us disarmed because they hate us, and they yearn to break us and humiliate us and make us give in. When you own a weapon and can defend yourself and your rights, you are a citizen. When you do not, you are a subject. Your dignity gnaws at them. Kurt Schlichter

[Your dignity gnaws at them precisely because, their preference being for Wards-of-The-State serfdom, they know none of their own. And rather than acquiring for themselves the dignity of free individuals in a free republic, they demand that you give up yours.]

Americans are vulnerable because they don't like giving offense when they can help it. They're not at the Canadian level of wussiness, but they are generally nice and polite people. That must change. We must actively give offense. We must confront liberals' stupid, poisonous ideas at every turn, viciously and without remorse.

It's about time that our default response change from "I'm sorry I gave offense" to "You're an idiot for being offended and get out of my face before I slug you." Kurt Schlichter

You go, Kurt Schlichter. I could not possibly agree more.